IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 21.8.2007

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.JAICHANDREN

Writ Petition No.27628 of 2007

P.Ramasamy .. Petitioner

vs.

Regional Transport Authority,
Salem .. Respondent

This writ petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a Writ of Mandamus to direct the respondent to consider and pass orders on the application of the petitioner for the grant of mini bus permit on the route Attur Bus Stand to Gangavalli Samathuvapuram (via) Housing Board, T.K.S. Mill, Mariamman Koil, Bai Kadai, Maankuttai, Pungavadipudur colony pursuant to the orders of the Tribunal made in Appeal No.310/05/A4, dated 19.6.2006.

For petitioner : Mrs.S.S.Radhagopalan
For respondent : Mr.A.Arumugam
Additional Government Pleader (Tax)

O R D E R

Mr.A.Arumugam, the learned Additional Government Pleader (Tax), takes notice for the respondent.

2. With the consent of the learned counsel appearing on either side, the writ petition itself is taken up for final disposal.

3. It is submitted by the petitioner that he has made an application for the grant of mini bus permit on the route Attur Bus Stand to Gangavalli High School (via) Housing Board etc. The said application was taken up for consideration and the respondent, by its order, dated 7.4.2005, had rejected the petitioner's application, on the ground that the served sector is more than 4 kms, even though, before the disposal of the petitioner's application, he had made a modification application by curtailing the route from Gangavalli High School to Gangavalli Samathuvapuram for plying the petitioner's Mini bus between Attur Bus Stand to Gangavalli Samathuvapuram (via) Housing Board, T.K.S.Mill, Mariamman Koil, Bai Kadai, Maankuttai, Pungavadipudur colony.

WEB COPY

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/

4. Therefore, the petitioner had filed an appeal before the State Transport Appellate Tribunal, Chennai. The said Tribunal, by its order, dated 19.6.2006, made in Appeal No.310/05/A4, had set aside the order of the respondent and remitted the matter back to the respondent with a direction to consider and dispose of the petitioner's application. Therefore, the petitioner had submitted a representation, to the respondent, dated 16.12.2006. Since the respondent had not passed any orders thereon till date, the present writ petition has been filed.

5. In view of the submissions made by the learned counsels appearing for the petitioner as well as the respondent, the respondent is directed to consider and dispose of the application, dated 10.2.2004, in view of the representation, made by the petitioner, on 16.12.2006, and as directed by the State Transport Appellate Tribunal, by its order, dated 19.6.2006, on merits and in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

With the above directions, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

lan

Sd/
Asst. Registrar

/true copy/

Sub Asst.Registrar

To

1.The Regional Transport Authority,
Salem .
+ One cc to Govt Pleader sR 52221
AKR (co)
sg 5/9/07

W.P.No.27628 of 2007
21.8.2007

WEB COPY

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/